HDP/SB/21 based on PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box -> [+]

			Applicati n Number	09/870,138
FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Filing Dat	May 30, 2001	
		First Nam d Invent r	Makoto KAI et al.	
		Group Art Unit	2879	
		Examiner Name	77	
otal Number of Pages in This Submission 6			Attorney Docket Number	2879
		ENCLO	SURES (check all that apply)	
Fee Transmittal Form			ment Papers Application)	After Allowance Communication to Group
Fee Attached		Letter to the Official Draftsperson and Four (4) Sheets of Formal Drawing(s)		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment / Response		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final		Petition		Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Status Letter
Extension of Time Request		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Express Abandonment Request		Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund		
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Nu	mber of CD(s)	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remar	ks	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application				·
Response to Parts under 3 1.52 or 1.53				
·	SIGNA	TURE OF A	APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, O	OR AGENT
Firm or Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.		Attorney Name Donald J. Daley	Reg. No. 34,313
Signature	ille		2) 11/4	•
	October 30, 2002			



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS:

Makoto KAI et al.

CONF. NO.: Unknown

SERIAL NO.:

09/870,138

GROUP:

2879

FILED:

May 30, 2001

EXAMINER: Unknown

FOR:

DISCHARGE LAMP AND LAMP UNIT, AND METHOD FOR

PRODUCING LAMP UNIT

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

October 30, 2002

Dear Sir:

In Reply to the Office Action dated October 1, 2002, the following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-referenced application.

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 remain in connection with the present application.

The Examiner has issued a Restriction Requirement, requesting election of one of two allegedly patentably distinct groups including Group I, including claims 1-6, directed to a discharge lamp; and Group II, including claims 7-10 directing to a method.

In reply to the Examiner' Restriction Requirement, Applicants hereby elect Group I, including claims 1-6, drawn to a discharge lamp, for prosecution in connection with the present